


Thomas M. Comer

3930 N. Pine Grove #2409, Chicago, Illinois 60613



February 25, 2005 10:10 AM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!


I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Comer

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE



Brian Strine

2824 Nicodemus Road , Westminster, Maryland 21157-7506

March 03, 2005 03:31 AM
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.


Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Brian Strine

Brian Strine

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



Nan Beer

22136 W. Oak Orchard , Santa Clarita, California 91321

February 27, 2005 12:28 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!


I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,


Nan Beer

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



Rodney Pfoutz

6609 Snowcloud drive , Rockford, Illinois 61108-6865

February 28, 2005 11:54 AM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:


I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,


Rodney Pfoutz

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE